ALASKA ENERGY AUTHORITY

Dated: November 20, 2019
RE: ADDENDUM NO. 1 TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) PACKAGE

RFP 20026
2020 Electric Vehicle Working Group Facilitation

EMAIL TO: All RFP recipients on record.

The RFP Package is hereby clarified or changed as follows:

1. Remove Sec. 5.11 and replace with Attachment 1.

Questions and Answers

1. On Page 35 there is a reference to section 5.15 of the proposal, but there is no 5.15. Should it be 5.11?

Answer:
On page 35, the reference should be section 5.12 of the proposal.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

END OF ADDENDUM

Sincerely,

Lois Lemus,
Contracting Officer
907-771-3909
llemus@aidea.org

ATTACHMENT 1

SEC. 5.11 FORMULA USED TO CONVERT COST TO POINTS

The distribution of points based on cost will be determined as set out in 2 AAC 12.260(c). The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined using the formula:

[(Price of Lowest Cost Proposal) x (Maximum Points for Cost)] ÷ (Cost of Each Higher Priced Proposal)

SEC. 5.12 EXAMPLES: CONVERTING COST TO POINTS

(a) Formula Used to Convert Cost to Points

STEP 1
List all proposal prices, adjusted where appropriate by the application of applicable preferences claimed by the offeror.
Offeror #1 $40,000
Offeror #2 $42,750
Offeror #3 $47,500
STEP 2
In this example, the RFP allotted 40% of the available 100 points to cost. This means that the lowest cost will receive the maximum number of points.
Offeror #1 receives 40 points.
The reason they receive that amount is because the lowest cost proposal, in this case $40,000, receives the maximum number of points allocated to cost, 40 points.
Offeror #2 receives 37.4 points.
$40,000 lowest cost x 40 maximum points for cost = 1,600,000 ÷ $42,750 cost of Offeror #2's proposal = ***37.4***
Offeror #3 receives 33.7 points.
$40,000 lowest cost x 40 maximum points for cost = 1,600,000 ÷ $47,500 cost of Offeror #3's proposal = **33.7**

Offeror #1 is the highest scoring offeror and would get the award, provided their proposal is responsible and responsive.